

<b>Search Notes</b>	<b>Application/Control No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination</b>
	10016828	DAVID ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	GELEK TOPGYAL	2621

### SEARCHED

<b>Class</b>	<b>Subclass</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Examiner</b>
386	46,94,95,83,52,125	11/17/2006	GT
725	60	11/17/2006	GT
Researched		6/15/2007	GT
Researched		1/4/2008	GT
Researched		7/2/2008	GT

### SEARCH NOTES

<b>Search Notes</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Examiner</b>
See previous search notes. Thai Tran cited Daniels reference as teaching newly added limitations. Thai approved the rejections of new claims 141 and 142.	7/2/2008	GT
Thai Tran approved maintaining rejection of all claims except 141 and 142 which were allowed.	1/29/2009	GT
Researched. It was discussed that Dorricott would still be applicable since it has a 102b date when used for the 103 rejection in the present office action. What was missing in Dorricott was found in Patton and vice versa. Thai approved 103 rejection using Dorricott and Patton et al.	7/27/2009	GT
Researched. Thai Tran confirmed argument to maintain rejection. Claims 141-142 and dependent claims allowed.	1/28/2009	GT

### INTERFERENCE SEARCH

<b>Class</b>	<b>Subclass</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Examiner</b>

/GELEK TOPGYAL/  
Examiner Art Unit 2621